## 

## 가. Basic and Major Evaluation

Evalua tion Field	Evaluation Category	Evaluation Detail		Scoring(Review) Criteria		Score	
Basic	Qualifica tion of majors	To see if Major is relevant with the field (Exclusion if the major is not same with the field)		Decided to be unqualified for the major if all committee members says 'F'.	Q/F		
Major	Evaluati on on career	Education and Research Experience (only Accept experience after Ph.D)  ** Career output can be calculated based on qualification criteria for professors		<ol> <li>Quantity Evaluation</li> <li>Evaluation Score: More than 2 yrs 5 points, More than 1yr and half 4 points, More than 1 yr 3 Point, More than 6 months 2 points, Less than 6 months 1point</li> <li>Quality Evaluation: Evaluate similarity with the hiring field and</li> </ol>	5	10	
				the major and experience with external agencies (company, schools, government company)  - Evaluation Score: Top 5-4points, Middle 3-2points, Low class 1point	5		
		Qualitative evaluation of Ph.D thesis paper		1. Evaluation Score: Top 10-8points, Middle 7-4points, Low class 3-1 points	10		
	Evaluati on of Ph.D Thesis and Publicati ons	Publicatio ns other than Ph.D thesis paper	Quantitative Evaluation (Publications within 3 yrs)	<ol> <li>Evaluation Score         <ul> <li>More than 600% overall 20points, More than 550% overall 19 points, More than 510% 18points, Morea than 480% 17 points, More than 450% 16points, More than 420% 15 points, More than 390% 14 points, More than 360% 13 points, More than 330% 12 points, More than 300% 11 points, Less than 300% 10 points</li> </ul> </li> <li>Research results that are acknowledged         <ul> <li>SCI, SCIE, SSCI</li> </ul> </li> <li>Acknowledged conversion rate         <ul> <li>Sole-Author (only author) 100%, two Authors 70%, three Authors 50%, more than 4 co-authors 30% However, 70% is given to the 1st author and/or corresponding author regardless of number of co-authors (more than 3)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Weight         <ul> <li>SSCI: 4.5</li> <li>SCI(E): 2.5</li> </ul> </li> <li>* Papers that were published at journals with Impact Factor 3 or higher will be considered for the evaluation.</li> <li>* Calculation: Weight x Acknowledged conversion rate = Evaluation Score</li> </ol>	20	60	
			Qualitative Evaluation (2 papers appointed by applicant)	<ol> <li>2 papers that are published within 3 years which are appointed by the applicant.</li> <li>Evaluation Score: Total score of 15 per paper         <ul> <li>Excellent(Top) 15-13points, Good(Middle) 12-10points, below average (Low level) 9-7points</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	30		
Total							

## 나. Interview

Evalua tion Field	Evaluation Category	Evaluation Detail	Scoring(Reveiw) Criteria	Score		
Inter view	Research Ability, Innovation, Education	Potential Future Contributions towards Education, Research and Department	<ol> <li>Evaluation Content : Educating Ability</li> <li>Evaluation Score : Top 5 points, Middle 4-3 points, Low 2-1 points</li> </ol>	15		
			<ol> <li>Evaluation Content: Research Ability</li> <li>Evaluation Score: Top 5 points, Middle 4-3 points, Low 2-1 points</li> </ol>			
			<ol> <li>Evaluation Content : Expected contribution towards center</li> <li>Evaluation Score : Top 5 points, Middle 4-3</li> </ol>			
		Open lecture (Lecture for Junior students level)  *English open lecture.	points, Low 2-1 points  1. Evaluation Content: Conformity of the subject and content of the lecture  2. Evaluation Score: Top 5 points, Middle 4-3 points, Low 2-1 points  1. Evaluation Content: Clarity, Logic, and completeness of a lecture  2. Evaluation Score: Top 5 points, Middle 4-3 points, Low 2-1 points  1. Evaluation Content: Ability to answer the questions and Attitude  2. Evaluation Score: Top 5 points, Middle 4-3 points, Low 2-1 points	15		
	Sub-Total					
Total						